

Ethno- Religion Cum Geo-Political Background to the Russo-Ukraine War

Duyile William Abiodun

Ekiti State University, Faculty of Arts, Department of History and International Studies, Ado Ekiti, Ekiti State

Abstract:

The paper examined and further investigated the historical, ethnical and religious links between Russia and Ukraine and the factors that led to the war. The paper relied on secondary sources. Secondary sources included literature such as books and newspapers. The documentary data were subjected to internal and external criticism for authentication and then to textual and contextual analysis. The paper found out Ukraine policy direction and friendship with Europe and the United States of America.

Keywords:

crimea; war; ethno; religion

I. Introduction

The aim of the study is to examine the effects of Russia versus Ukraine war on the Nigerian State. The war between Russia and Ukraine is a complex issue that has drawn the attention of academic scholars. It draws a delicate interplay of domestic cum international political play, ethnic, religious, and economic interests. Academic scholars such as Miron Lakomy, John Mearsheimer, and Kamil Zwolski have broadly categorized the Russo-Ukraine war into four groups: Firstly, the conflict involves Russia's efforts to maintain control over post-Soviet states; secondly, the conflict revolves around Ukraine's sovereignty as an independent nation and the tension which arose from Russia's perceived attempts to undermine Ukraine's autonomy and assert dominance over its political decisions; thirdly, the disputed status of Crimea, Donetsk, and Luhansk regions in eastern Ukraine constitutes another major aspect of the conflict; and fourthly, the conflict has implications for Ukraine's sense of national identity (Wolf, 2015). The country grapples with questions of its historical and religious ties to Russia, while also seeking to review its geo-politics stance of a change of identity.

Kievan Rus, also known as Kyivan Rus or Kyivan Ruthenia, was a medieval state that emerged in the late 9th century (Popescu, 2015). It was established by Viking warriors led by Rurik, the settlement around present-day Kyiv, the capital of modern-day Ukraine (S.Guriev; D.Treisman, 2017). Under the rule of the Rurik Dynasty, Kievan Rus expanded its territories (S.Guriev; D.Treisman, 2017). Through its geography, Kyiv was at the crossroads of major trade routes, as it linked Northern Europe to the Byzantine Empire and the Islamic world. Prince Vladimir the Great of Kyiv accepted Christianity as the official state religion in 988 (S.Guriev; D.Treisman, 2017). The adoption of the Byzantine Eastern doctrinal Christianity influenced the region's culture, arts, and education. The late 10th century to the early 12th century is often regarded as the "Golden Age" of Kievan Rus. Landmark infrastructures like the Saint Sophia Cathedral in Kyiv and the Church of the Tithes were constructed, a prove for the civilization of that period (A.Kuznetsov & I.Bremmer, 2018). However, rivalries between different principalities weakened the central authority of Kyiv also the Mongol invasions from the east, led

by Genghis Khan's successors to further disrupt the region's stability. These events sowed the growth for the development of distinct Eastern Slavic Belarus. The acceptance of Orthodox Eastern Byzantine Christianity also played a significant role in shaping the doctrinal cultural identities of the Slavs. The Mongol invasion left a lasting impact, shaping the cultural and geopolitical landscape of Asia and Eastern Europe for centuries. The traditional guardians of Eastern Europe, the once-great identities and cultures, which eventually evolved into the modern nations of Ukraine, Russia, and Polish-Lithuanian commonwealth and the Habsburgs, who were the legatees of Ottoman heritage, nor they who inflicted the most punishing blows as the Ottoman Empire crumbled. The Poles were in fact nearing the end of their own history as an independent nation. The personal union of Lithuania and Poland had been turned into a real union of the two countries too late. In 1572, when the last king of the Jagiellon line died without an heir, the throne had become not only theoretically but actually elective. A huge territory of the Polish people was up for grabs. His successor was French and for the next century Polish magnates and foreign kings disputed each election, while their country was under grave and continuing pressure from Turks, Russians and Swedes. Poland prospered against these enemies only when they were embarrassed in other European States. The Swedes descended on her northern territories during the Thirty Years' War and the last of the Polish Coast was given up to them in 1660. Internal divisions had worsened, too; the Counter-Reformation brought religious persecution to the Polish Protestants and there were risings of Cossacks in the Ukraine and continuing serf revolts. Hungary became in 1664 the last Turkish conquest of a European Kingdom, though the Ukrainians soon acknowledged Turkish suzerainty and the Poles had to give up Podolia.

Later groups like the Cossacks were fiercely independent warriors who emerged in Eastern Europe during the late 15th to 17th centuries. They formed distinct military communities on the fringes of Russian, Ukrainian, and Polish-Lithuanian lands, known for horsemanship and combat skills. Cossack society valued freedom, democracy, and camaraderie, attracting diverse communal-hood seeking an alternative to feudal systems (Miller, 2016). They played crucial roles in regional conflicts, defending borders and serving as military allies. Over time, they integrated into neighboring empires but retained their military prowess. The Partition of Poland was a significant event in the late 18th century that involved the division and annexation of the Polish-Lithuanian Commonwealth by neighboring powers. It took place in three stages: in 1772, 1793, and 1795 (E.Gnedina & S.Naryshkin, 2017). The Russian Empire, along with Austria and Prussia, played a key role in the partition of the Polish ethnic groups. In 1772, the three powers seized portions of Polish territory, citing the need to restore stability to the weakening Commonwealth and protect their own interests. Russia gained substantial land in the east, including parts of modern-day Ukraine and Belarus. In the second partition in 1793, Russia further expanded its territory at the expense of Poland, annexing additional regions in the east and connecting its western territories. The final partition in 1795 resulted in the complete dissolution of the Polish-Lithuanian Commonwealth, with the remaining land divided among Russia, Austria, and Prussia. Russia acquired the largest share, including significant areas of modern-day Poland and Lithuania. The making of Russia and his growth overtime was more of a political act and not a religious act. The monarchy was its centre and motor; the country had no racial unity to preordain its existence and precious little geographical definition to impose a shape. It was the Orthodox Church and other Slavs Orthodoxy, too that united the Slavs. The growth of the personal domain and power of the tsars was the key to the building and growth of Slavism. The domestic policy of Nicholas 1 portrayed Pan-Slavism. Nicholas aimed to Russify all the people of his empire- led to Roman Catholics and Protestants being encouraged to become part of the Russian Orthodox Church. In the 19th Century, the Orthodox Church played a central role in the lives of the vast majority of Russia's rural population more so in Commune system.

The Partition of Poland marked the end of Poland's existence as an independent state until it was reestablished in the aftermath of First World War in 1918. The partition demonstrated the vulnerability of weak nations and proved the expansionist ambitions of the Russian and Prussian empires, particularly that of Russian Empire, which significantly increased its territorial holdings as a result. The Soviet Era was a period of history that began with the establishment of the Soviet Union in 1922. The Soviet Union was a socialist state led by the Communist Party, and it encompassed a vast territory, including Russia and several other republics. During this era, the Soviet government implemented various policies, including collectivization of agriculture, rapid industrialization, and a planned economy. It also pursued an aggressive expansion of communism and exerted significant influence on the international stage (R.Fawn & J.Mayall, 2018). The man-made famine that occurred in Soviet- Ukraine from 1932 to 1933, during the Soviet Era was estimated that millions of Ukrainians lost their lives due to starvation and harsh living conditions. The famine established and allowed the Soviets to go back to the 19th century commune system of agriculture in Russia, which involved the seizure of privately owned farmland and the imposition of state-controlled collective farms. As a consequence of this policy and grain requisitions, many Ukrainians were left without sufficient food to survive, leading to a humanitarian catastrophe.

The Soviet government initially denied the existence of the famine and obstructed foreign aid efforts. It also imposed restrictions on travel and access to information, making it difficult for the true extent of the disaster to be known internationally. Only in the 21st century, after the collapse of the Soviet Union, that scholars began to acknowledge a man-made tragedy and recognized it as a genocide. The Soviet Era policies and actions of the Soviet government during this period of famine contributed to the severity of the famine in Ukraine; reminding Ukrainians of the consequences of authoritarian rule, economic policies, and the suppression of information during the Soviet Era.

The dissolution of the Soviet Union in 1991 marked the end of the communist superpower and the disintegration of the largest country in the world. The process leading to the dissolution began with political and economic reforms introduced by Soviet President Mikhail Gorbachev in the late 1980s. These reforms unintentionally weakened the central authority and fueled demands for autonomy and independence from various Soviet republics. In August 1991, a failed coup attempt against Gorbachev's government accelerated the process, and the Soviet republics began declaring sovereignty. By December 1991, the Commonwealth of Independent States (CIS) was established, signaling the end of the Soviet Union. Mikhail Gorbachev resigned as President, and the Russian Federation emerged as the successor state. The dissolution led to the independence of fifteen new countries and reshaped the geopolitical landscape of Eurasia, bringing an end to the Cold War era and marking a significant turning point in history

II. Literature Review

The Impacts of Russian Ukrainian War on the Global Economy in the frame of digital banking networks and cyber attacks by Muhammad Eid Balbaa et.al. The themes in the given topic are the impacts of the Russian-Ukrainian war on the global economy, with a specific focus on the economic consequences of the conflict, particularly in relation to the global supply chain, and to examine the effects of sanctions imposed on Russia by Western nations. The paper also analyzed the impact on energy supply, commodities, trade, and inflation in various countries.

Another paper reviewed for this research: “the Impact of the Ukraine crisis on international trade, by Zslot Darvas and Catarina Martins”.The researchers examined the

commodity prices, trade balances, and bilateral trade flows involving Russia. The study examines the economic implications of Russia's invasion of Ukraine and the subsequent sanctions imposed by major economic powers.

Finally, in Williams Duyile et al. *Russo-Ukraine War: Drawing a Parallel with the Second World War and Cold War*; The article extensively explores the parallels that exist between the Russo-Ukraine war and two significant historical periods: the Second World War and the Cold War. It goes beyond merely pointing out similarities by providing a comprehensive analysis of the contextual connections between these events and eras. The article also examines how these different timeframes share certain characteristics and patterns, allowing for a deeper understanding of the ongoing conflict. It delves into the background, motivations, and consequences of the Russo-Ukraine war, while also drawing parallels to the circumstances and dynamics of the Second World War and Cold War. One of the significant outcomes of the article is the confirmation that the Russo-Ukraine conflict can be seen as a proxy war. This means that while the primary actors are Ukraine and Russia, there are larger geopolitical forces and interests at play that contribute to and influence the conflict's dynamics. Furthermore, the paper establishes a clear correlation between the events of the Russo-Ukraine war and the historical periods of the Second World War and Cold War. This correlation suggests that certain patterns and strategies observed in those earlier eras are relevant to understanding the ongoing conflict. Lastly, the article addresses the balance of power between NATO and Russia, particularly concerning their nuclear capabilities. It argues that Russia holds a nuclear advantage over NATO, which adds another layer of complexity to the geopolitical landscape and has implications for how the conflict might unfold and be resolved..

However, it is important to note that the text falls short in assessing the specific impact of the Russia-Ukraine crisis on international trade. It does not delve into the effects on trade relations between Nigeria and Russia or the broader implications for global trade flows, tariffs, or trade agreements. This aspect could be further explored to provide a comprehensive understanding of the consequences of the conflict on international trade.

III. Results and Discussion

The Crimea Angle to the War Crimea and Sevastopol are two closely related regions with a core geopolitical cum strategic importance. Crimea is a peninsula located in the Black Sea, contiguous strategic platform close to mainland Ukraine (the Ukrainians claim ownership, Russia believes it owns it). Throughout history, Crimea has been a region of strategic importance due to its position at the crossroads of Europe and Asia. The Crimea had a strategic influence on who controls the European and Asian sea routes. It has been a strategic hold for empires over the centuries, including the Greeks, Romans, Byzantines, Tatars, and Ottomans. By 1796, when Catherine the Great died, Russia's position was indeed impressive. The most solid ground of Catherine prestige was her armies and diplomacy. Catherine had given Russia seven million new subjects. Catherine said she had been well treated by Russia, to which she had come 'a poor girl with three or four dresses', but that she had paid her debts to it with Azov, the Crimea and the Ukraine. Catherine achieved this feat through the Russo- Turkish relations. The bilateral relations more so conflict, for thirty years or so, allowed for the raid of the Crimea Tatars. The Turks gave up Suzerainty over the Crimean Tatars, because of their military manpower, and morally, because this was the first Islamic people over, which, the Ottoman Empire ceded control, and Russia also took the territory between the Bug and Dnieper, together with an indemnity, and the right of free navigation on the Black Sea and through the straits. This event alone recognized Russia as the guarantor and protector of new rights granted to the Greek- that

is, Christian-subjects of the Sultan. It was to prove a blank cheque for Russian interference in Turkish affairs.

Crimea became a subject of contest in 1853. The conflict escalated in 1854 and lasted until 1856. Russian interest in the Turkish Straits, which would allow them the outlet to the Mediterranean, brought them into direct conflict with the British, who claimed that the straits must be kept open to international traffic. By 1855, Russia clearly was fighting a lost war to the Turks and British. When Tsar Nicholas 1 died that same year, his successor, Alexander II, recognized that he had no choice but to sue for peace. The signatories of the peace treaty of Paris in 1856 agreed to maintain the territory of the Turkish Empire. Russia ceded the left bank of the Danube to Moldavia and Wallachia, which later became united as part of Romania in 1858. Serbia became self independent. Russia ceased to maintain warships at the Black Sea. The Turkish Empire was in charge of Crimea until the First World War. When the Russian communist government signed the Brest Litovsk Peace Treaty with the Central Powers in March 1918, the latter had occupied a vast territory on the Western fronts of the old tsarist empire. As a result of the defeat of Germany, the communists regained the Ukraine and Belarus which in 1922, were integrated into the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics together with Russia and Transcaucasia, Finland, the three Baltic States and Poland, on the other hand, consolidated their independence. In 1954, Crimea was transferred from the Russian- Soviet Federative Socialist Republic to the Ukrainian- Soviet Socialist Republic within the old Soviet Union.

Sevastopol is a city located on the southwestern coast of Crimea and is one of its main port cities. It has been a strategic naval platform for various empires and states, including the Russian Empire, the Soviet Union, and modern-day Russia. Historically, Russia and Ukraine share deep religious, cultural, historical, and linguistic ties due to their shared past as part of the Soviet Union. However, after the dissolution of the Soviet Union in 1991, Ukraine declared its independence, leading to a shift in the regional power dynamics. One of the major flashpoints in the conflict was the annexation of Crimea by Russia in March 2014. Crimea had been part of Ukraine since 1954, but following the protests and the ousting of the pro-Russian President Viktor Yanukovich, Russia saw an opportunity to assert control over the strategically important peninsula. Russia conducted a controversial referendum in Crimea, where the majority of voters allegedly chose to join Russia. However, the legitimacy of the referendum was widely questioned, as it occurred under the presence of Russian military forces, and it was not recognized by the international community.

The annexation of Crimea was met with widespread international condemnation and led to a series of economic sanctions against Russia by various countries and international organizations. The annexation of Crimea was the first time since World War II that one country forcibly annexed the territory of another in Europe (P.D'Anieri, 2017). Furthermore, Russia has been accused of supporting separatist movements in Eastern Ukraine, particularly in the Donetsk and Luhansk regions, where there are significant ethnic Russian populations. These separatist movements have sought independence from Ukraine or even annexation to Russia. The conflict in Eastern Ukraine has resulted in thousands of deaths and a humanitarian crisis with civilians caught in the crossfire (N.Popescu, 2016).

Russia's actions in Ukraine have raised concerns about its intentions in the region and its willingness to challenge the established norms of international law and sovereignty. The conflict has strained Russia's relations with Western countries, leading to a deterioration of diplomatic ties and an increase in military presence and exercises in Eastern Europe by NATO forces as a response to perceived Russian aggression. Russia's actions in Ukraine have broader implications

for regional and global security, with concerns about Russia's intentions towards other neighboring countries and its approach to international law and order. The conflict has also highlighted the complexities of the post-Soviet space and the challenges of managing the aspirations of different ethnic and linguistic communities in the region (N.Popescu, 2016).

With the end of the Soviet era, Ukraine emerged as an independent nation, setting the stage for a new geopolitical landscape in the region. The dissolution of the Soviet Union in 1991 allowed Ukraine to assert its sovereignty and chart its own path as a separate nation. Russia regards Ukraine as its sphere of influence. This development brought about a redefinition of Ukraine's identity and national aspirations, with many Ukrainians seeking to strengthen ties with Europe and embrace Western values and institutions. However, Ukraine's independence also gave rise to tensions with its eastern neighbor, Russia. Russia viewed Ukraine as historically and culturally intertwined with itself, and the separation was seen by some as a loss of a vital part of Russia's sphere of influence. This laid the foundation for the complex relationship between the two countries, where Russia sought to maintain its historical dominance over Ukraine, while Ukraine aimed to establish itself as an independent and sovereign state.

The issue of Crimea, a region with a majority ethnic Russian population, became a notable flashpoint in the conflict. When Ukraine declared independence, Crimea remained part of the newly independent country. However, Russia's perception of Crimea as an intrinsic part of its territory and the presence of its Black Sea Fleet in Sevastopol further complicated the situation. The tensions between Ukraine and Russia had always increased since 1991, with disputes over energy supplies, trade, and historical cum religious narratives further aggravating the crisis. Changes in regional and global power dynamics influenced the emanating alliances which aggravates the conflict. President Viktor Yanukovich's rejection of an association agreement with the European Union, triggering a wave of demonstrations demanding closer integration with Europe and an end to corruption and authoritarian rule; the most significant contemporary event related to Crimea and Sevastopol is the annexation of Crimea by Russia in March 2014. Following political unrest in Ukraine and the ousting of the pro-Russian Ukrainian President Viktor Yanukovich, Russia claimed that it was protecting the rights of ethnic Russians in Crimea and organized a referendum, not backed up by the International Community, on Crimea's status under the sovereignty of Ukraine. Subsequently, Russia formally annexed Crimea, leading to condemnation from the international community and the imposition of sanctions on Russia.

The annexation of Crimea remains a contentious issue, with Ukraine and much of the international community refusing to recognize it as legitimate. Crimea is under de facto Russian control, while Ukraine continues to claim it as part of its territory (R.Menon & Rumer, 2015). The Eastern Ukraine Conflict, also known as the Russo-Ukrainian War, is an ongoing armed conflict that began in 2014. It primarily involves pro-Russian separatists in the Donetsk and Luhansk regions of Ukraine, seeking to break away and establish self-proclaimed republics. The conflict emerged in the aftermath of Ukraine's political upheaval in 2014 when the pro-Russian Ukrainian President Viktor Yanukovich was ousted, leading to tensions between Russia and Ukraine. Following the change in government, pro-Russian protests erupted in Eastern Ukraine, and armed groups with support from Russia declared their independence. The interest in Ukraine became divided, Pro Russia versus a government that is Pro- Europe and NATO.

The conflict escalated into a full-scale armed confrontation, with both sides engaging in hostilities, resulting in thousands of deaths and significant displacement of civilians. Russia has been accused of providing military support to the separatist forces, including weapons, equipment, and even troops. Numerous attempts at peace negotiations and ceasefires have been

made, but the conflict remains unresolved, with frequent violations of truces and ongoing violence. The conflict has had severe humanitarian consequences, with civilian casualties, destruction of infrastructure, and a significant humanitarian crisis in the affected regions. The situation in Eastern Ukraine remains highly complex, with geopolitical implications and ongoing international efforts to find a peaceful resolution. Ukraine and Russia share deep cultural and linguistic connections, but this has also led to disagreements over territories like Crimea and parts of eastern Ukraine with significant ethnic Russian populations. Russia's annexation of Crimea in 2014 and the ensuing conflict in Eastern Ukraine have been major triggers, causing tensions to escalate. The region's historical complexities have created a backdrop of geopolitical influence and interests, with both Russia and the West vying for influence in Ukraine. Additionally, ethnic and cultural divisions within Ukraine, along with periods of political instability, have added to the complexities of the conflict. These factors have collectively contributed to the ongoing and highly sensitive situation between the two countries.

The Russian-Ukrainian conflict has its roots in the dissolution of the Soviet Union in 1991. After the Soviet Union's collapse, Ukraine declared its independence, leading to a shift in regional power dynamics. However, this independence also brought about territorial disputes and questions about the rights of ethnic Russians living in Ukraine. The annexation of Crimea by Russia in 2014 and the subsequent conflict in Eastern Ukraine further intensified the tensions between the two nations. Geopolitical interests played a significant role, with Russia viewing Ukraine as a buffer zone between itself and NATO/European Union countries, leading to concerns about its security and influence in the region. This complex interplay of historical, geopolitical, and ethnic factors has contributed to the ongoing conflict between Russia and Ukraine.

The conflict between Russia and Ukraine has been influenced by significant ethnic and cultural divisions within Ukraine. The country has a diverse population, with various linguistic, religious, and historical backgrounds. Notably, there are sizable Russian-speaking communities in parts of Ukraine, particularly in the eastern and southern regions. These divisions have played a critical role in shaping the conflict's dynamics. In areas with a significant ethnic Russian population, there is often a higher affinity towards Russia, and some residents may harbor sentiments favoring closer ties with Russia. On the other hand, other regions in Ukraine lean more towards establishing stronger connections with Europe and the West. Historically, the Soviet era and the Russification policies implemented during that time have also contributed to shaping these divisions. The Soviet government imposed the Russian language and culture on various regions, including parts of Ukraine, leading to a lasting impact on identity and ethnic affiliations.

Furthermore, political elites in Russia have used these ethnic and cultural divisions as a basis to assert their influence over Ukraine. They have positioned themselves as protectors of the Russian-speaking population in Ukraine, using this as a justification for their actions in supporting separatist movements and fomenting unrest in the country. As a result of these ethnic and cultural divides, the conflict has become not just a geopolitical struggle but also a deeply emotional and identity-driven issue for many Ukrainians. The tensions have heightened sentiments of nationalism and regionalism, exacerbating the overall complexities of the conflict.

The annexation of Crimea took place in March 2014 and is a key event in the Russian-Ukrainian conflict. After Ukraine's political upheaval and the ousting of pro-Russian President Viktor Yanukovich during the Euromaidan protests, Russia capitalized on the instability in Ukraine and swiftly annexed Crimea. Following the annexation, Russia conducted a controversial

and widely criticized referendum in Crimea, where the majority of voters allegedly chose to join Russia. However, the legitimacy of the referendum was questioned by the international community, as it occurred under the presence of Russian military forces and without the approval of the Ukrainian government. The annexation of Crimea by Russia was met with widespread condemnation from the international community, and it resulted in numerous countries imposing economic sanctions on Russia as a response. The annexation also triggered a significant escalation of tensions between Russia and Ukraine, leading to armed conflict in Eastern Ukraine, where Russian-backed separatist movements emerged. The annexation of Crimea remains a highly contentious issue in international relations and has had lasting consequences on the stability of the region. It continues to be a major obstacle in finding a peaceful resolution to the Russian-Ukrainian conflict, as Crimea's status remains disputed between the two countries and the international community.

After Russia annexed Crimea in March 2014, armed conflict broke out in Eastern Ukraine, particularly in the Donetsk and Luhansk regions. These areas are predominantly Russian-speaking and have sizable pro-Russian populations. Pro-Russian separatists, with support from Russia, declared self-proclaimed republics in Donetsk and Luhansk and initiated armed insurrections against the Ukrainian government. The separatists sought independence from Ukraine or even potential annexation to Russia. The conflict escalated into a full-fledged war, with Ukrainian forces engaging in military operations against the separatist forces. The situation has resulted in thousands of deaths, extensive destruction of infrastructure, and a significant humanitarian crisis, with civilians caught in the crossfire. Russia's involvement in supporting the separatists has been a contentious issue, with Russia repeatedly denying direct military involvement and claiming to provide only humanitarian assistance. However, evidence has shown that Russia has provided military aid, weapons, and even troops to support the separatist forces. Efforts to find a peaceful resolution have been challenging, with multiple ceasefire agreements being violated and renewed clashes occurring periodically. The conflict has strained relations between Russia and Western countries, with Russia facing widespread international condemnation and economic sanctions. The armed conflict in Eastern Ukraine continues to be a major obstacle in finding a lasting solution to the Russian-Ukrainian conflict. Diplomatic efforts and international mediation persist in attempts to de-escalate the situation and achieve a peaceful resolution, but the conflict's complexities and vested interests from various parties make it a protracted and difficult process.

IV. Conclusion

The Russia-Ukraine war has had profound economic consequences, particularly concerning regional and global economic stability. The conflict has disrupted economic activities in the region, affecting trade, investment, and production. The uncertainty and geopolitical risks associated with the war have deterred investors and businesses from making long-term commitments, leading to reduced capital flows and sluggish economic growth. Trade, being a critical driver of economic activity, has been significantly impacted by the conflict. Ukraine and Russia are major players in global trade, particularly in sectors like agriculture, metals, and energy. The war's disruption of supply chains and trade flows has not only affected the region but also had implications for trade partners worldwide. This has led to market uncertainties, price fluctuations, and reduced access to critical resources. Energy markets have also been affected, with concerns arising over energy security for European countries that rely on Russian gas supplies transiting through Ukraine. The potential for disruptions in energy supplies has raised questions about stability and reliability, influencing energy prices and investment decisions.

Looking to the future, geopolitical risks remain a significant concern. The conflict has highlighted the potential for spillover effects and tensions between major global players, which could have broader consequences for international trade and financial markets. Investor confidence in the region has been dampened due to the lingering impact of the war and the uncertainty regarding its resolution. Ongoing geopolitical risks could lead investors to adopt a cautious approach, affecting capital flows and the attractiveness of the region for investments. On the positive side, the conflict may spur efforts towards regional economic integration among neighboring countries. Strengthening economic ties and collaborations can help mitigate the impact of disruptions caused by the war and foster a more stable economic environment. The war has emphasized vulnerabilities in global supply chains, especially in sectors heavily reliant on Ukrainian and Russian exports. Companies may reevaluate their supply chain strategies to enhance resilience and reduce dependency on regions with geopolitical risks.

References

- A.Kuznetsov, & I.Bremmer. (2018). The End of the Post-Soviet Era: What Comes Next. *Foreign Affairs* , 97 (3), pp18-24.
- E.Gnedina, & S.Naryshkin. (2017). *Russia's Foreign Policy: Change and Continuity in National Identity*. Britain: Rowman and Littlefield.
- Miller, S. (2016). The Ukraine Crisis: Examining the Causes and Consequences. *Journal of International Affairs* , 69 (1), 1-16.
- N.Popescu. (2016). Dealing with a Post Soviet frozen Conflict: The EUs role in Transnistria and Eastern Ukraine. *European Foreign Affairs Review* , 21 (2), 157-175.
- P.D'Anieri. (2017). *Understanding Ukrainian Politics: Power, Politics and Institutional Design*. Routledge: Routledge.
- Popescu, N. (2015). Dealing with a post Soviet frozen Conflict: The E.U's role in Transnistria and Eastern Ukraine . *European Foreign Affairs review* , 21 (2), 157-175.
- R.Fawn, & J.Mayall. (2018). *Russia and Ukraine Crisis: The International Dimensions*. Britain: Routledge.
- R.Menon, & Rumer, E. (2015). *Conflict in Ukraine: The Unwinding of the Post Cold war Order*. . Massachusset: MIT Press.
- S.Guriev; D.Treisman. (2017). How Modern Dictators Survive: An Informational Theory of the New Authoritarianism , 69 (3), 413-438.
- Wolf, K. (2015). Economic Sanctions and the Impact of the Ukraine-Crisis. *German Law Journal* , 16 (5), 1001-1018.